

REMARKS

An *Advisory Action* dated May 15, 2006 was received. The *Advisory Action* has been reviewed and discussed with the examiner. In response, applicant has amended the claims in order to expedite prosecution of the application to issuance. No other reason should be attributed to the amendments.

In the *Advisory Action*, it was stated that claims 4, 15, 26, 31, 41 and 51 remained rejected because they depend from a rejected base claim. In a telephone conference, the examiner confirmed that these claims are allowable but for the fact that they are in dependent form. Consequently, the independent claims have been amended herein to include the limitations of these dependent claims, and any intervening dependent claims.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments, it is respectfully submitted a full and complete response to the *Office Action* and the *Advisory Action* has been made. The claims are in condition for allowance, and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

The Applicant believes that a one-month extension-of-time fee is due with this *Response*. If a fee is due, please charge Deposit Account No. 08-2442. Further, Applicant believes that an extension of time is necessary to file this *Response*. If an extension of time is needed, please consider this as a petition for an extension of time sufficient to enter this *Response*.

The Examiner is invited to call applicant's attorney if any questions remain following review of this response. If it will help, the undersigned is willing to explain by telephone or in person, the reasons the claims are allowable.

Respectfully submitted,



R. Kent Roberts
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 40,786

Date: June 22, 2006

Hodgson Russ LLP
One M&T Plaza, Suite 2000
Buffalo, NY 14203-2391